

Multi-Objective Optimization of Hazardous Material Transportation

By: R. Pradhananga, E. Taniguchi & T. Yamada Department of Urban Management Kyoto University

24th October 2008



US Department of Transportation (2004):

"Hazardous material is a substance or material which has been determined by the Secretary of Transportation to be capable of posing an unreasonable Risk to Health, Safety, and Property when transported in commerce, and which has been so designated."

Hazmat Transportation

Cause

Advance Technology

Main Problems

- High volume shipments
- Potential adverse condition

Hazmat transport accidents

- Relatively less number
- ✤ High severity





Some Major Hazmat Accidents

- Wagon carrying fuel oil explosion in North Korea (2004) 161 killed, 1300 injured
- Truck carrying gasoline explosion in Iran (2004)
 90 deaths, 114 injured & 6 vehicles crushed

(Source: UNEP-APELL Program)

 Truck carrying gasoline and diesel accident in Tokyo (3rd August 2008)



Source: The Japan Times Online



To determine a set of pareto-optimal paths in which both the cost of transportation and associated risk are minimized with equal consideration.



Solution Techniques for Normal Vehicle Routing Problems with Time Windows (VRPTW)

Route Choice:

Shortest Path Techniques

- Dijkstra Method
- Adapted least path method
- Ant routing method

Routing:

Order of customer to be visited

- Exact Algorithm
- Meta-heuristic Algorithms

Genetic Algorithm, Simulated Annealing, Tabu Search, Ant Algorithm

Normal VRPTW vs. Hazmat VRPTW



1 + 2 + 3 + 4 4 + 4 5 + 6 + 7 + 8 9 + 10 + 11 + 12

Route Choice:

Shortest travel time path or Lowest risk path ???

Route choice and routing process should be carried out simultaneously as a single process.



Mathematical Model

Objective Function Minimize

$$Z(X,Y) = [Z_1(X,Y) \quad Z_2(X,Y) \quad Z_3(X,Y)]^T$$

- Z_1 = Total number of Vehicles in Use
- $Z_2 =$ Total Travel Time
- $Z_3 =$ Total Risk Exposure
- X = Order of Customers to be visited
- Y = Order of Paths to be followed



Travel Time Calculation











1 - Depot
3,4,5 - Customer
nodes
2 - Non customer
node

Previous ACS

Shortest path is already known. One path for one node to be considered.

Proposed ACS

Network addition for each feasible node-> Complicate problem size

Labeling Algorithm



- Obtain a set of dominant paths P that can only contribute for optimal routing for each node pair.

- Steps:

Label Initialization

-Define Set of Unprocessed labels->L and Useful labels ->U

 $L_{N1} = \{res(L), t(L), r(L), \{vis(L)\}, pre(L), clabel\}$

 $\{ 2 \ 56 \ 20.46 \ \{ 0 \ 2 \ 0 \ 1 \ 1 \ 2 \ 1 \ 1 \ 2 \ 2 \ \} \ 13 \ 26 \}$

res(L)-> resident vertex node

t(L)-> Travel time at label L

r(L)-> Risk at label L

{vis(L)}-> A vector showing possibility of visit from resident vertex to
all nodes

pre(L)->Preceding label of label L clabel -> Current label

Labeling Algorithm (Contd....)



Label Selection

- Select label L_i with minimum time among set L to process

Dominance Rule

- For labels with same resident nodes related with L_i

- If
$$t(L_2) \ge t(L_1) \& r(L_2) > r(L_1)$$
 OR

 $t(L_2) > t(L_1) \& r(L_2) = r(L_1) \rightarrow L_1 \text{ dominates } L_2$

- Remove all dominated labels from U

Path Extension

-Create new labels from all labels with resident node as of L_i to all nodes that are feasible for visit (vis value 1)

Test Network



Zone 1

Zone 2



Distribution of Gasoline Depot 2 Customer Type-1 Link (20Km/hr) Type-2 Link (15Km/hr) **Potential Impact Area :** 0.5Km in all direction 2006 Accident data from : http://www.unescap.org/ttd w/roadsafety/Reports2007/J apan_RSpresentation



Paths by Labeling Algorithm vs. Other Shortest Path Approach

From	То	Algorithm	Path	Travel time (min)	Risk (in 1000)
1	2	Dijkstra for time	1->2	16	4.52
1	2	Dijkstra for risk	1->2	16	4.52
1	2	Labeling	1->2	16	4.52
1	12	Dijkstra for time	1->5->9->10->11->12	60	26.92
1	12	Dijkstra for risk	1->2->6->7->8->12	80	24.24
1	12	Labeling	1->5->9->10->11->12	60	26.92
			1->5->6->10->11->12	68	25.63
			1->2->6->10->11->12	72	24.99
			1->5->6->7->8->12	76	24.88
			1->2->6->7->8->12	80	24.24



Dominant set of Paths from node to node-> P small for nearby nodes but increased for farther nodes.

Approach	Dijkstra	Labeling Alg.
No. of Paths	144	250

73.61% increase



- □ Ant Colony System with simultaneous route choice and routing for minimizing both time and risk.
- Set of pareto-optimal paths with equal consideration of multiple objectives involved.

Results-> Expected to provide more precise alternative solutions.



Thank You